

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

		TATES OF	Washingto	on, D.C. 20231	A-
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR			ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
09/707,430	11/06/00	CORNAY		P	5770.04
- 020686 DORSEY & WHITNEY, LLP SUITE 4700 370 SEVENTEENTH STREET DENVER CO 80202-5647		IM22/0129	一		EXAMINER
				COOLEY	,0
		·		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				1723	2
		`		DATE MAILED:	01/29/01

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks



Application No.

09/707,430

Applicant(s)

Cornay

Office Action Summary Examiner

Charles E. Cooley

Group Art Unit 1723

Responsive to communication(s) filed on	
This action is FINAL .	
 Since this application is in condition for allowance except f in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 19 	or formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed 35 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.
A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set is longer, from the mailing date of this communication. Failure application to become abandoned. (35 U.S.C. § 133). Extens 37 CFR 1.136(a).	e to respond within the period for response will cause the
Disposition of Claims	
X Claim(s) 1 and 2	is/are pending in the application.
Of the above, claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.
Claim(s)	
☑ Claim(s) 1 and 2	
Claim(s)	
☐ Claims	
Application Papers See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawi The drawing(s) filed on is/are obje The proposed drawing correction, filed on The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	ected to by the Examiner.
☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priorit All Some* None of the CERTIFIED copies received. received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Noreceived in this national stage application from the *Certified copies not received:	of the priority documents have been umber) ne International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic prior	
Attachment(s) Notice of References Cited, PTO-892 Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper Interview Summary, PTO-413 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO- Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152	
SEE OFFICE ACTION OF	N THE FOLLOWING PAGES

Application/Control Number: 09/707,430 Page 2

Art Unit: 1723

OFFICE ACTION

1. This application has been assigned to Technology Center 1700, Art Unit 1723 and the following will apply for this application:

- a. Please direct all written correspondence for this application to Art Unit
 1723. The examiner can be reached at telephone number (703) 308-0112.
- **b.** Telephone inquiries regarding this application should be directed to the Technology Center 1700 receptionist at (703) 308-0651 or to the Examiner at (703) 308-0112. Facsimile correspondence for this application should be transmitted to (703) 305-3602 or (703) 305-7718.

Priority

- 2. Applicant has not complied with one or more conditions for receiving the benefit of an earlier filing date under 35 U.S.C. 120 as follows:
- a. If applicant desires priority under 35 U.S.C. 119(e) and 120 based upon previously filed copending applications, a specific reference to each of the earlier filed applications must be made in the instant application. This should appear as the first sentence of the specification following the title, preferably as a separate paragraph. The status of nonprovisional parent application(s) (whether patented or abandoned) should also be included. If a parent application has become a patent, the expression "now Patent No.______" should follow the filing date of the parent application.

Application/Control Number: 09/707,430 Page 3

Art Unit: 1723

If a parent application has become abandoned, the expression "now abandoned" should follow the filing date of the parent application.

Drawings

3. Applicant should verify that (1) <u>all</u> reference characters in the drawings are described in the detailed description portion of the specification and (2) <u>all</u> reference characters mentioned in the specification are included in the appropriate drawing Figure(s) as required by 37 CFR 1.84(p)(5).

Specification

- 4. The specification has not been checked to the extent necessary to determine the presence of all possible minor errors. Applicant's cooperation is requested in correcting any errors of which applicant may become aware in the specification.
- 5. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities:
- **a.** the instant specification should incorporate all of the revisions made in the specifications of the parent applications.

Appropriate correction is required.

- 6. The abstract is acceptable.
- 7. The title is acceptable.

Art Unit: 1723

Double Patenting

A rejection based on double patenting of the "same invention" type finds its support in the language of 35 U.S.C. 101 which states that "whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process ... may obtain a patent therefor ..." (Emphasis added). Thus, the term "same invention," in this context, means an invention drawn to identical subject matter. See *Miller v. Eagle Mfg. Co.*, 151 U.S. 186 (1894); *In re Ockert*, 245 F.2d 467, 114 USPQ 330 (CCPA 1957); and *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970).

A statutory type (35 U.S.C. 101) double patenting rejection can be overcome by canceling or amending the conflicting claims so they are no longer coextensive in scope. The filing of a terminal disclaimer <u>cannot</u> overcome a double patenting rejection based upon 35 U.S.C. 101.

Claims 1-2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 as claiming the same invention as that of claims 1 and 11 of prior U.S. Patent No. 5,944,648. This is a double patenting rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 U.S.C. § 112

8. Claims 1-2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 1, line 17: "said light material" lacks antecedent basis - change to --said lighter material-- to agree with the preamble.

Application/Control Number: 09/707,430 Page 5

Art Unit: 1723

Claim 2, line 21: "said light material" lacks antecedent basis - change to --said lighter material-- to agree with the preamble.

Conclusion

9. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

The cited prior art is the prior art cited in the parent applications.

- 10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Examiner Charles Cooley whose telephone number is \$\pi\$ (703) 308-0112.
- 11. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Technology Center 1700 receptionist whose telephone number is **a** (703) 308-0651.

Dated: 18 January 2001

Charles Cooley Primary Examiner Art Unit 1723

Charles Co